(3)

BEFORE THE STATE ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL RAIPUR

O.A. NO. 80 /2001

09 PD 3573/01

No. 2351/01
Filed today 22.1.2001
by Shri D.I. Sahu
For Registrar,
M. P. State Adm. Tribunal,
RAIPUR.

APPLICANT : MOHAN RAJ BASU
S/O Shri M.K. Basu,
Range Officer
Special Duty
Baster (Territorial)
Baster (C.G.)

VERSUS

NON APPLICANT :

1. STATE OF C.G. Through Secretary, Department of Forest, D.K.S.Bhawan, Raipur C.G.

2. Conservetor Of Forest Jagdalpur Circle Jagdalpur (C.G.)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION UNDER SECTION 19 OF THE M.P. STATE ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL ACT 1985

(29)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR
W.P. (S) No. 3513 of 2005

Petitioner — Mohan Raj Basu

Versus

Respondents — State of Chhattisgarh & another

SB: Hon'ble Mr. Justice **Satish K. Agnihotri, J**

Shri Manish Sharma , Advocate for the petitioner.

V. V. S. Murthy, Deputy Advocate General with Smt. Anju Ahuja, Deputy Govt. Advocate for the respondents/State.

ORDER

(Passed on this 9th day of March, 2007)

The following order of the Court is passed by **Satish K. Agnihotri, J.**

1. The petitioner while working as Range Officer, Special Duty, Baster was transferred to Narangi Area, on the same terms and conditions, vide order dated 4.9.2000 (Annexure A/1).
2. The Madhya Pradesh Administrative Tribunal at Raipur vide interim order dated 23.1.2001 directed to maintain status quo in regard to the position of the petitioner as it was prevailing on 22.1.2001. By virtue of the interim order the petitioner is continuing at the original place of posting.
3. Be that as it may, with the passage of time this petition has become infructuous as period of transfer is not applicable forever. At this point of time there is no question to examine the validity of the transfer order. However, the respondents are at liberty to pass appropriate orders keeping in view the public interest and administrative exigency, without insisting on compliance of the impugned transfer order.
4. It is well settled principle of law that transfer is an incident of service and it is for the employer to decide as to where a particular officer/employee be posted, keeping in view public interest as well as administrative exigency. This Court has limited jurisdiction to interfere in the transfer matter and this Court can interfere only in the case of proved malafide, non-competence of authority passing the transfer order and transfer order not being in conformity with the rules and regulations.
5. In view of the above, this petition stands disposed of. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Thakur